Vulnerabilities in front of scientific misinformation

Paolo Volonté, Politecnico di Milano



Addressing high school students' vulnerability to scientific misinformation:

The contribution of Science and Technology Studies



Addressing high school students' vulnerability to scientific misinformation:

The contribution of Science and Technology Studies

Step 1 Field research

How do adolescents deal with scientific information?

Step 2 Training experimentation

How to counter vulnerabilities to scientific misinformation?









Results

Misinformation in itself is not the main threat

- 1. In order to have misinformation, there must be a framework of **interest in information**
- 2. Most adolescents are **well aware** that fake news is a topic of concern and think that online gullibility is a problem for adults
- 3. Most adolescents have **good skills** in managing the formal elements of media messages and able to recognize the most suspicious ones



- In contemporary Western societies science enjoys particular influence and **authority**
 - The Idea of Science, however, is widely held in respect
 - Scientific institutions hold the authority to establish what counts as credible or true and provide it to those who speak in their name

• Extreme forms of deference to scientific authority are usually called "**scientism**"



«Scientism is a kind of over-enthusiastic and uncritically deferential attitude towards science, an inability to see or an unwillingness to acknowledge its fallibility, its limitations, and its potential dangers»

(Haack 2012: 76)





Lukic and Zezelj (2023) "uncritical trust in science"

- A set of beliefs characterizing scientism
- Including claims that
 - "science can discover absolute truths"
 - "scientists always know what they are doing"



Our sample's high-school students:

- Believe that science is capable of **systematically producing true knowledge** to the extent that it remains faithful to its own method
 - "If science claims so, I'm almost sure it's so"
 - "Precisely because he's involved in science, I don't think a researcher spreads fake news"
 - "If eventually something comes out to be true, claimed by an expert, everybody starts acknowledging it as true"
- Fail to acknowledge the **socio-historical features and limitations** that characterize science



Naïve scientism

= Uncritical faith in the infallibility of science

"naïve" because it is based on a simplistic conception of science dully aligned with the ideal of the scientific method and unaware of the real social processes that allow scientists to produce, in the long term, reliable knowledge



Naïve scientism

Naïve scientism is a major vulnerability to scientific misinformation

Naïve scientism results **not in a solid trust** in institutional science, but in the **weakening of such trust**, because science-in-action feeds on practices that naïve scientism considers unscientific:

- the development of fierce **controversies**
- the endemic **temporariness** of results
- the inevitability of **subjective** interpretation of results
- the "experimenter's regress" (Collins)
- a pervasive reliance on the **reputation** of researchers and scientific institutions
- the need to base one's beliefs on trust ("epistemic dependence")







Naïve scientism

The risk of losing trust in science in general

Naïve scientism can lead, **in the face of** the **failures** and uncertainties of science, to **seek certain answers** in alternative directions: the multifaceted forms of **refused knowledge**

An uncritical trust in ideal science – a kind of science which does not exist in reality – can easily **turn into a radical distrust of real science**.



Vulnerabilities in front of scientific misinformation

Thank you!

Paolo Volonté, Politecnico di Milano



